arXiv:1602.04406v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 14 Feb 2016

Pairing symmetry of heavy fermion superconductivity in the two-dimensional Kondo-Heisenberg lattice model

Yu Liu[1], Guang-Ming Zhang[2,3,**], and Lu Yu[1,3]
[1]*Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China.*
[2]*State Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Physics and Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China;*
[3]*Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing, China and*
[**]*Correspondence author. Email: gmzhang@tsinghua.edu.cn*

(Dated: February 16, 2016)

In the two-dimensional Kondo-Heisenberg lattice model away from half-filled, the local antiferromagnetic exchange coupling can provide the pairing mechanism of quasiparticles via the Kondo screening effect, leading to the heavy fermion superconductivity. We find that the pairing symmetry *strongly* depends on the Fermi surface (FS) structure in the normal metallic state. When J_H/J_K is very small, the FS is a small hole-like circle around the corner of the Brillouin zone, and the s-wave pairing symmetry has a lower ground state energy. For the intermediate coupling values of J_H/J_K, the extended s-wave pairing symmetry gives the favored ground state. However, when J_H/J_K is larger than a critical value, the FS transforms into four small hole pockets crossing the boundary of the magnetic Brillouin zone, and the d-wave pairing symmetry becomes more favorable. In that regime, the resulting superconducting state is characterized by either nodal d-wave or nodeless d-wave state, depending on the conduction electron filling factor as well. A continuous phase transition exists between these two states. This result may be related to the phase transition of the nodal d-wave state to a fully gapped state, which is recently observed in Yb doped $CeCoIn_5$.

PACS numbers: 71.27.+a, 74.70.Tx, 75.30.Mb

The study of heavy fermion intermetallic compounds has played an important role in our understanding of strongly correlated electron systems[1, 2], and the Kondo lattice model is believed to capture the low temperature physics[3]. It has been established that the coherent superposition of individual Kondo screening clouds gives rise to a huge mass enhancement of quasiparticles, leading to a heavy Fermi liquid with a *large* Fermi surface comprising conduction electrons as well as local moments[4, 5]. Competing with the Kondo singlet formation, the local moments indirectly interact with each other via the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction, and the antiferromagnetic (AFM) long-range ordered phase emerges in the small Kondo exchange coupling regime[6–8].

In addition, there has been growing evidence that local AFM correlation of the local moments can also induce the formation of Cooper pairs of heavy quasiparticles, leading to unconventional heavy fermion superconductivity. Due to the tiny energy gap, the direct experimental measurement of superconducting gap function is extremely difficult. Only recently, inelastic neutron scattering experiments on $CeCu_2Si_2$ have revealed a spin resonance peak, an indirect evidence of the nodal d-wave superconductivity[9]. Thermal conductivity and heat capacity measurements on $CeCoIn_5$ also supported a superconducting gap with nodes along the diagonal directions of the Brillouin zone[10, 11]. More recently, in scanning tunneling spectroscopy experiments the nodal points in the superconducting gap of $CeCoIn_5$ were found, as a more direct evidence of $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry[12, 13].

At the same time, an s-wave superconductivity has also been confirmed in $CeRu_2$ and $CeCo_2$ in nuclear quadrupole resonance measurements[14, 15], where the spin-lattice relaxation rate exhibits an exponential decay at low temperatures and the Hebel-Slichter peak is observed. Furthermore, the laser photoemission spectroscopy measurements on $CeRu_2$ have demonstrated a clear anisotropic s-wave superconducting gap at the Fermi level[16]. Since the Coulomb repulsion is dominant in heavy fermion systems, these results cast doubts on whether this fully gapped superconducting state can be understood within the pairing mechanism of the local AFM correlation.

From the theoretical side, to simplify the RKKY interaction, one can explicitly introduce the local AFM Heisenberg superexchange interaction J_H among the local moments into the Kondo lattice system[20–26]. When the local moments are expressed in terms of fermionic spinons, the large-N fermionic approach[17–19] can be used to treat the Kondo-Heisenberg lattice model very efficiently in the limit of $J_K > J_H$, after a spinon hopping order parameter is introduced[20, 21, 24, 26]. Then an effective hybridization between the conduction electron and spinon bands leads to a paramagnetic heavy Fermi liquid. Based on the reconstructed large Fermi surface (FS), the instabilities of AFM order and unconventional superconductivity can be further analyzed. As long as the AFM long range order is suppressed, the spinon singlet pairings can be also promoted from the local AFM spin exchange, further reducing the ground state energy. Via the Kondo screening effect, the Cooper pairs of the conduction electrons can be induced, leading to heavy fermion superconductivity. Some numerical evidence on robust d-wave pairings has been observed in the two-dimensional Kondo-Heisenberg lattice systems[27–29].

In this paper, we develop an effective mean field (MF) theory on heavy fermion superconductivity in the Kondo-Heisenberg lattice model on a two-dimensional square lattice. In the paramagnetic Fermi liquid phase ($J_K > J_H$), we first notice that the FS topology undergoes a dramatic change as the ratio of $x = J_H/J_K$ is gradually increased. Since the

spinon singlet pairing from the local AFM exchange coupling has a form factor, which is either an extended s-wave or d-wave symmetry, we find that the superconducting pairing symmetry depends on the FS structure. In the presence of the spinon pairings, we have to introduce the local pairing order parameter between the conduction electrons and spinons in the Kondo spin exchange interaction. When x is very small, the FS is a small hole-like circle around the corner of the first Brillouin zone. We find that the s-wave superconducting state has a lower ground state energy[30]. For the intermediate coupling values of J_H/J_K, the extended s-wave pairing symmetry gives the favored ground state. However, as x is larger than a critical value, the corresponding FS consists of four hole pockets crossing the boundary of the magnetic Brillouin zone, and the d-wave pairing symmetry is more favorable. In this regime, the resulting superconducting state can be a nodal d-wave or nodeless d-wave state, depending on the conduction electron filling factor as well. This result may be used to explain the recent experimental observation in the Yb doped $CeCoIn_5$ (Ref.[31]).

The model Hamiltonian of the Kondo-Heisenberg lattice model is defined by:

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + J_K \sum_i \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i + J_H \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j, \quad (1)$$

where $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ denotes the conduction electron band, the local moments have the fermionic representation $\mathbf{S}_i = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'} f^{\dagger}_{i\sigma}\tau_{\sigma\sigma'} f_{i\sigma'}$ and τ is the Pauli matrices. There is a local constraint: $\sum_\sigma f^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} f_{i\sigma} = 1$ to restrict any charge fluctuations, and the f-fermions only describe the spin degrees of freedom of the local moments, which will be referred to as spinons.

Following the large-N fermionic approach[20, 22], the Kondo spin exchange and Heisenberg superexchange terms can be simply expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\dagger}_{i\uparrow} c_{i\uparrow} + f^{\dagger}_{i\downarrow} c_{i\downarrow}\right)\left(c^{\dagger}_{i\uparrow} f_{i\uparrow} + c^{\dagger}_{i\downarrow} f_{i\downarrow}\right), \\ \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j &= -\frac{1}{2}(f^{\dagger}_{i\uparrow} f_{j\uparrow} + f^{\dagger}_{i\downarrow} f_{j\downarrow})(f^{\dagger}_{j\uparrow} f_{i\uparrow} + f^{\dagger}_{j\downarrow} f_{i\downarrow}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where constant terms have been neglected. Then a Kondo screening and a uniform short-range AFM order parameters can be introduced as

$$V = -\sum_\sigma \left\langle c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} f_{i\sigma} \right\rangle, \chi = -\sum_\sigma \left\langle f^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} f_{j\sigma} \right\rangle. \quad (3)$$

To avoid the accidental degeneracy of the conduction electrons on a square lattice, we choose

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t\left(\cos k_x + \cos k_y\right) + 4t' \cos k_x \cos k_y - \mu, \quad (4)$$

where t and t' are the first and second nearest neighbor hopping parameters, and a chemical potential of the conduction electrons μ has been introduced as a Lagrangian multiplier to fix the density of the conduction electrons n_c. Under the uniform MF approximation, the spinons also form a very narrow band with the dispersion $\chi_{\mathbf{k}} = J_H\chi\left(\cos k_x + \cos k_y\right) + \lambda$



FIG. 1: (Color online) The Fermi surface reconstruction of the heavy quasiparticles as a function of local AFM spin interaction J_H/t for $J_K = 2t$, $t'/t = 0.3$ and $n_c = 0.8$.

where λ is also a Lagrangian multiplier to impose the local constraint on average.

Then the MF Hamiltonian for the heavy Fermi liquid phase reads

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} & f^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} & \frac{1}{2}J_K V \\ \frac{1}{2}J_K V & \chi_{\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \\ f_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \end{pmatrix} + E_0, \quad (5)$$

with $E_0 = N\left(J_H\chi^2 + J_K V^2/2 - \lambda + \mu n_c\right)$. The quasiparticle excitation spectra can be easily obtained

$$E^{(\pm)}_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \chi_{\mathbf{k}}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \chi_{\mathbf{k}}\right)^2 + \left(J_K V\right)^2}\right]. \quad (6)$$

Then the self-consistent equations for χ, V, λ and μ can be derived by minimizing the ground state energy. We first perform a numerical calculation, choosing the following parameters $t' = 0.3t$, $J_K = 2t$, and $n_c = 0.8$. The following results are obtained: as the local AFM interaction J_H gradually increases, the lower branch of the heavy quasiparticle spectrum $E^{(-)}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is calculated and the corresponding FS topology obtained and displayed in Fig.1.

For a very small local AFM Heisenberg spin exchange, i.e. $J_H/t \leq 0.355$, we can clearly see that the FS is a hole-like circle around the corner of the first Brillouin zone, which corresponds to the large electronic FS of the heavy quasiparticles. At $J_H/t = 0.356$, the topology of the FS starts to change: a small circle emerges in the center of the deformed hole FS. As J_H/t is further increased, both circles expand and the small one is deformed into a rotated square. Up to $J_H/t = 0.481$, the two deformed circles intersect each other and then decompose into four kidney-like Fermi pockets. When J_H/t continues to increase, the resulting FS is shifted inward along the diagonal direction. The detailed discussion had been presented in our previous publication[26]. At $J_H/t = 1.0556$, the Fermi pockets are close to the momentum $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and its equivalent points. Actually such a FS structure starts to cross the boundary of the magnetic Brillouin zone. Quantum Monte Carlo cluster approach has been used to study the evolution of the FS close to the magnetic transition in the two-



FIG. 2: (Color online) The extended s-wave and d-wave form factors of the spinon pairings.

dimensional Kondo lattice system[5], where the heavy quasiparticle bands drop below the FS giving rise to hole pockets around $\mathbf{k} = (\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and equivalent points. Our obtained FS structure is consistent with this numerical result.

To further consider the instability of the heavy Fermi liquid state, we should notice that the local AFM Heisenberg superexchange can also be written in terms of the spinon singlet pairs up to a constant

$$\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j = -\frac{1}{2}(f^\dagger_{i\uparrow} f^\dagger_{j\downarrow} - f^\dagger_{i\downarrow} f^\dagger_{j\uparrow})(f_{j\downarrow} f_{i\uparrow} - f_{j\uparrow} f_{i\downarrow}). \quad (7)$$

Actually, Coleman and Andrei[20] had emphasized that the local SU(2) gauge invariance of the local Heisenberg spin operator generally requires the consideration of both spinon hopping and pairing order parameters. Further arguments can be made by using the symplectic representation of the local magnetic spins[32]. Then the spinon pairing parameter is introduced as

$$\Delta_{ij} = -\langle f^\dagger_{i\uparrow} f^\dagger_{j\downarrow} - f^\dagger_{i\downarrow} f^\dagger_{j\uparrow} \rangle. \quad (8)$$

For a two-dimensional square lattice model, the spinon pairing order parameter Δ_{ij} has a form factor with either an extended s-wave or the d-wave symmetry[33]. Both the extended s-wave and d-wave form factors in the momentum space have sign change in the Brillouin zone and are shown in Fig.2.

When the spinon pairings are present, the local pairing order parameter between the conduction electrons and spinons has to be introduced, because the Kondo spin exchange interaction can also be expressed as

$$\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i = -\frac{1}{2}\left(c^\dagger_{i\uparrow} f^\dagger_{i\downarrow} - c^\dagger_{i\downarrow} f^\dagger_{i\uparrow}\right)\left(f_{i\downarrow} c_{i\uparrow} - f_{i\uparrow} c_{i\downarrow}\right). \quad (9)$$

Then a local s-wave pairing order parameter is defined by

$$\Delta_{cf} = -\langle c^\dagger_{i\uparrow} f^\dagger_{i\downarrow} - c^\dagger_{i\downarrow} f^\dagger_{i\uparrow} \rangle, \quad (10)$$

so the MF model Hamiltonian in momentum space can be written in a compact form

$$\mathcal{H}_{mf} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 & \frac{J_K V}{2} & \frac{J_K \Delta_{cf}}{2} \\ 0 & -\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} & \frac{J_K \Delta_{cf}}{2} & -\frac{J_K V}{2} \\ \frac{J_K V}{2} & \frac{J_K \Delta_{cf}}{2} & \chi_{\mathbf{k}} & J_H \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \frac{J_K \Delta_{cf}}{2} & -\frac{J_K V}{2} & J_H \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} & -\chi_{\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \chi_{\mathbf{k}}) + E_0, \quad (11)$$

where a Nambu spinor has been defined as $\psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}} = \left(c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} \;\; c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \;\; f^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} \;\; f_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \right)$, and $E_0 = N\left[J_K\left(V^2 + \Delta^2_{cf}\right)/2 + J_H\left(\Delta^2_0 + \chi^2\right) - \lambda + \mu n_c\right]$. The spinon pairing gap function is chosen as

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \Delta_{\mathbf{0}}(\cos k_x \pm \cos k_y), \quad (12)$$

for extended s-wave and d-wave pairing, respectively. Diagonalizing this MF model Hamiltonian, two quasiparticle bands are derived

$$E^{\pm}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \sqrt{E_{\mathbf{k}1} \pm \sqrt{E^2_{\mathbf{k}1} - E^2_{\mathbf{k}2}}},$$
$$E_{\mathbf{k}1} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon^2_{\mathbf{k}} + \chi^2_{\mathbf{k}} + J^2_H \Delta^2_{\mathbf{k}}\right) + J^2_K\left(V^2 + \Delta^2_{cf}\right)/4,$$
$$E_{\mathbf{k}2} \equiv \sqrt{\left[\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}\chi_{\mathbf{k}} - J^2_K\left(V^2 - \Delta^2_{cf}\right)/4\right]^2 + (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} J_H \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} - J^2_K V \Delta_{cf}/2)^2}.$$

Due to the particle-hole symmetry of the superconducting quasiparticles, all negative energy states are filled up in the ground state, and the ground state energy density is thus obtained

$$E_g = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{N}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{E_{\mathbf{k}1} + E_{\mathbf{k}2}} + \frac{J_K}{2}\left(V^2 + \Delta^2_{cf}\right) + J_H \Delta^2_0 + J_H \chi^2 + \mu(n_c - 1).$$

The saddle point equations for the MF order parameters V, χ, Δ_0, Δ_{cf} and λ can also be determined by minimizing the ground state energy. The chemical potential μ is still determined by the conduction electron density n_c. It should be emphasized that the obtained MF order parameters V, χ, and λ are different from those values in the normal paramagnetic phase. In particular, the difference of the chemical potential μ modifies the position of the Fermi energy as well as the FS structure.

Although there are no direct attractions among the conduction electrons, the spinon singlet pairings and the pairings of the conduction electrons and spinons provide an indirect glue for the formation of the Cooper pairs of conduction electrons via the Kondo screening/hybridizing effect. So the resulting ground state represents a heavy fermion superconducting state. With the help of the double-time retarded Green function, the Cooper pairing order parameter of the conduction electrons can also be deduced

$$\left\langle c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} c^\dagger_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \right\rangle = \frac{J_H J^2_K \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\Delta^2_{cf} - V^2\right) + 2J^2_K \chi_{\mathbf{k}} V \Delta_{cf}}{8E_{\mathbf{k}2}\sqrt{2\left(E_{\mathbf{k}1} + E_{\mathbf{k}2}\right)}}. \quad (13)$$

We find that the coexisting cases ($\Delta_{cf}\Delta_0 \neq 0$) of the local electron-spinon and spinon-spinon pairing are unstable. Therefore, only three cases of the s-wave ($\Delta_{cf} \neq 0$), the extended s-wave and d-wave spinon pairing symmetries are discussed in the following. When we choose $t' = 0.3t$, $n_c = 0.8$ and $J_K = 2t$, the MF self-consistent equations are numerically solved, respectively. The obtained pairing strengths are displayed in Fig.3a. When $0 < J_H/t < 1.02$, the s-wave pairing strength is the largest. For $1.02 < J_H/t < 1.36$,



FIG. 3: (Color online) (Top) The pairing strengths Δ_{cf} and Δ_0 as a function of the local AFM spin interaction J_H/t. (Bottom) The condensation energies with s-wave, extended s-wave, and d-wave pairing symmetries. The other parameters are choosen as $t'/t = 0.3$, $n_c = 0.8$, and $J_K/t = 2$.

the extended s-wave pairing strength has a larger value than the d-wave pairing strength. Only for $J_H/t > 1.36$, the d-wave pairing strength becomes larger. Moreover, the superconducting condensation energies are compared, we find that the s-wave pairing has a lower ground state energy in the range $0 < J_H/t < 0.886$, the extended s-wave pairing symmetry has a relatively lower energy in the range $0.886 < J_H/t < 1.056$, and the d-wave paring state becomes favorable for $J_H/t > 1.056$. The corresponding results are displayed in Fig.3b. As a reference, the ground state energy of the heavy Fermi liquid phase has been subtracted to obtain the condensation energies. As we expected, the s-wave, extended s-wave, and d-wave symmetric superconducting states can further save the ground state energy.

According to the above results, two discontinuous phase transition are expected in the superconducting phase. When the local AFM spin exchange interaction $J_H/t < 0.886$, the s-wave pairing state is the ground state. For $0.886 < J_H/t < 1.056$, the pairing form factor with s-wave pairing symmetry matches the FS structure of the heavy quasiparticles, and the extended s-wave superconducting state is the ground state of the system. However, when $J_H/t > 1.055$, the pairing form factor with d-wave symmetry matches the FS, and the d-wave superconducting state becomes more favorable. Therefore, due to the presence of the FS deformation, the resulting superconducting pairing symmetry is very sensitive to the local AFM spin exchange.



FIG. 4: (Color online) The lower branch of the superconducting quasiparticle excitation energy for different conduction electron filling factor with $t'/t = 0.3$, $J_H/t = 1.42$ and $J_K/t = 2.0$.



FIG. 5: (Color online) The ground state phase diagram of the superconducting state with $t'/t = 0.3$ and $J_K/t = 2.0$.

Moreover, as the filling factor of the conduction electrons is increased, another continuous transition can be exhibited from the lower superconducting quasiparticle excitation spectrum. The d-wave symmetric superconducting state shows a phase transition from the nodal d-wave to the nodeless d-wave states. This is a very unusual phenomenon in the superconducting phase. When the Fermi level of the normal phase is very close to the top band edge, the d-wave pairing amplitude becomes larger than the bandwidth of the heavy quasiholes, and the superconducting pairing state actually belongs to the strong pairing regime. Then the nodes in the superconducting quasiparticle excitation spectrum are no longer protected by the d-wave symmetry. In Fig.4, we show that the lower superconducting quasiparticle excitation spectrum with $t'/t = 0.3$, $J_H/t = 1.42$ and $J_K/t = 2.0$ for different conduction electron filling factor. For the cases of $n_c = 0.8$ and 0.829, we can see the nodes around $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and its equivalent points, while for $n_c = 0.87$ and 0.9, a small gap opens up. A general ground state phase diagram has been summarized in Fig.5.

In conclusion, we have presented an effective MF theory for heavy fermion superconductivity in the two-dimensional

Kondo lattice model with the local AFM Heisenberg exchange coupling among the local moments. We would like to emphasize that, it is the local AFM short-range interaction that can deform the FS structure of the heavy quasiparticles and induce unconventional superconducting long-range ordered states. Due to the presence of spinon singlet pairing, the Cooper pairs among the conduction electrons are induced via the Kondo screening effect. However, the pairing symmetry depends on the FS topology of heavy quasiparticles in the normal state, which is determined by the strength of the local AFM spin exchange and the conduction electron filling factor.

When the local AFM Heisenberg exchange coupling is weak, the heavy Fermi liquid phase has a small hole Fermi pocket around the corner of the Brillouin zone, and the s-wave and the extended s-wave form factor of the local AFM interaction matches the FS, so the s-wave superconductivity is more favorable. Such a situation occurs in the materials of $CeRu_2$ and $CeCo_2$, as supported by various experimental measurements[14–16]. Since these materials show a heavy Fermi liquid behavior in the normal state, the local AFM spin exchange interaction is expected to be very weak but can still provide an attractive glue among the fermionic spinons.

When the local AFM Heisenberg exchange coupling is stronger than a critical value and the conduction electron filling factor is not close to the half-filled limit, the corresponding FS of the heavy Fermi liquid changes into four small hole pockets around the momentum $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and its equivalent points. Such a FS structure more favors the d-wave form factor of the local AFM correlation. So the nodal d-wave superconducting state can be obtained, and such a situation happens in the materials of $CeCu_2Si_2$ and $CeCoIn_5$, with support from various experimental measurements[9, 10, 12].

More recently, some interesting experimental results have been discovered upon Yb doping in $CeCoIn_5$. The superconductivity is extremely stable and the transition temperature linearly depends on the Yb doping concentration[34], and the temperature dependence of the London penetration depth indicates that the nodal d-wave superconductivity changes into a fully gapped state after a critical Yb doping[31]. The transport measurements[35] have indicated that the large amount of Yb doping introduces holes into the system. This is equivalent to increasing the conduction electron filling factor in our theory, so the transition observed experimentally just corresponds to the continuous phase transition from the nodal d-wave state to the nodeless d-wave state. In order to fully explain this transition, further theoretical work including the estimation of the fluctuations around the MF solution should be considered.

The authors would like to thank D. H. Lee and T. Xiang for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 20121302227, 11120101003, 11121063) and by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2013M541069).

[1] Stewart G 2001 *Revs. Mod. Phys.* **73** 797
[2] Lohneysen H V, Rosch A, Vojta M and Wölfle P 2007 *Revs. Mod. Phys.* **79** 1015
[3] Si Q, Rabello S, Ingersent K and Smith J 2001 *Nature* **413** 804
[4] Watanabe H and Ogata M 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** 136401
[5] Martin L C and Assaad F F 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** 066404
Martin L C, Bercx M and Assaad F F 2010 *Phys. Rev. B* **82** 245105
[6] Doniach S 1977 *Physica B & C* **91** 231
[7] Lacroix C and Cyrot M 1979 *Phys. Rev. B* **20** 1969
[8] Zhang G M, Gu Q and Yu L 2000 *Phys. Rev. B* **62** 69
Zhang G M and Yu L 2000 *Phys. Rev. B* **62** 67
[9] Stockert O et al 2011 *Nature Phys.* **7** 119.
[10] Izawa K, Yamaguchi H, Matsuda Y, Shishido H, Settai R and Onuki Y 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** 057002
[11] Aoki H et al 2004 *J. Phys. Condensed Matter* **16** L13
[12] Allan M P et al 2013 *Nature Phys.* **9** 468
[13] Zhou B B et al 2013 *Nature Phys.* **9** 682
[14] Matsuda K, Kohor Y and Kohara T 1995 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **64** 2750
[15] Ishida K et al 2007 *Physica B* **237** 304
[16] Kiss T et al 2005 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** 057001
[17] Read N and Newns D M 1983 *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **16** 3273
[18] Auerbach A and Levin K, 1986 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** 877
[19] Millis A J and Lee P A 1987 *Phys. Rev. B* **35** 3394
[20] Coleman P and Andrei N 1989 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **1** 4057
Andrei N and Coleman P 1989 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** 595
[21] Iglesias J R, Lacroix C and Coqblin B 1997 *Phys. Rev. B* **56** 11820
Coqblin B, Lacroix C, Gusmao M S and Iglesias J R 2003 *Phys. Rev. B* **67** 064417
[22] Senthil T, Vojta M and Sachdev S 2004 *Phys. Rev. B* **69** 035111
[23] Coleman P, Marston J B and Schofield A J 2005 *Phys. Rev. B* **72** 245111
[24] Paul I, Pepin C and Norman M R 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** 026402
Paul I, Pepin C and Norman M R 2008 *Phys. Rev. B* **78** 035109
[25] Grover T and Senthil T 2010 *Phys. Rev. B* **81** 205102
[26] Zhang G M, Su Y H and Yu L 2011 *Phys. Rev. B* **83** 033102
[27] Sato N K et al 2001 *Nature* **410** 340
[28] Xavier J C and Dagotto E 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** 146403
[29] Asadzadeh M Z, Fabrizio M and Becca F 2014 *arXiv*:1403.2631v1[cond-mat].
[30] Bodensiek O, Zitko R, Vojita M, Jarrell M, and Pruschke T 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 146406
[31] Kim H et al 2014 *arXiv*:1404.3700v1[cond-mat]
[32] Flint R, Dzero M and Coleman P 2008 *Nature Phys.* **4**, 643
Flint R and Coleman P 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** 246404
[33] Kotliar G and Liu J 1988 *Phys. Rev. B* **38** 5142
[34] Shu L et al 2011 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** 156403
[35] Singh Y P et al 2014 *Phys. Rev. B* **89** 115106